

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,695	01/04/2002	Darrell Price	PC-1228	5672
23717	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
101 BREVAF COCOA, FL			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
		DATE MAILED: 04/29		4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/039,695	PRICE, DARRELL					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chapman E Jeanette	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce addre	ss				
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a specific application at the specific application (a) a time (a) a time (b) and (b) a time (b) and (c) a time (c) and (c) are the content appears and (c) are the content appears are the content appears and (c) are the content appears are the content appears are the content appears and (c) are the content appears are the content ap	cation. A proper reply ch places the applica	y to a ition in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Sec	e MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exter the final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee under !) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🖂 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7,9-15 and 17</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT	Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,12 and 17</u> .			•				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-11 and 13-16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-25.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTQ-1449) Paper No(s).	•					
10. Other:	landle Jeanette Chapman Primary Examiner	Ellagne	_				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/039,695

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 28-29 present a different combination of limitations which appear to be rejectable over Cornwall and Harrell.